

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/522,158	HEFFELS ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
BRYAN GIGLIO	2877	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

- (1) BRYAN GIGLIO. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Felix D'Ambrosio (Reg. # 25721). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 May 2008

**Time:** 12:00

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

20

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner suggested an amendment to claim 20 in order to circumvent a potential rejection under 35 U.S.C 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph. Applicant's representative agreed with the suggestion, to be made by Examiner's amendment in order to place the Application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Bryan Giglio/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

